LF 1001 (4) Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR.

Writ Petition No. 4041 /2004

Writ petition Under Article 226(2) of the Constitution of India.

***

PETITIONER :: M/s Kanker Roadways, a partnership firm, through the Partner Shri Preetam Singh Garcha, near Gandhi Udyan, Civil Lines, Raipur (CG).

P.R. No. 3722/04
Presented by Shri Manmohan Garg
dated 11/10/04

Versus

RESPONDENTS:: 1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, Department of Transport, D.K. Bhawan, Raipur (CG).
2. The State Transport Authority Raipur (CG).
3. The State of Madhya Pradesh, through the Secretary, Transport Department, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.).
4. The State Trasport Authority, Moti Mahal, Gwalior (CG).

संभाग आयुक्त बिलासपुर (छ.ग.) बिलासपुर तहसील शाखा

RECEIVED COPY
18.10.04
Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur

***

1 : Particulars of the petitioner:

As given in the cause title.

2 : Particulars of the respondents :

As given in the cause title.

(91)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 4041/ **सन्** 2004

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	13.1.2005 Shri B.K. Rawat, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Pramod Kumar Verma, Additional Advocate General for the State/respondents No.1 and 2. Shri Rajeev Shrivastava, counsel for respondents No.3 and 4, as also for intervener - Madhya Pradesh Road Transport Corporation. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the term of temporary permit has already been expired and thereafter, another temporary permit was granted to the petitioner, and on that the State Transport Authority, Gwalior, has already countersigned, therefore, to that extent this writ petition has become infructuous. As far as the prayer regarding issuance of writ of mandamus for directing the parties to enter into reciprocal agreement is concerned, learned counsel submits that in recent times, the State of Madhya Pradesh has taken a decision to abolish the Madhya Pradesh Road Transport Corporation, therefore, leaving this question open he does not want to press this writ petition, at this stage. In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.4434/2004 and I.A.No.9708/2004 also stand disposed of. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	

Soma

GRPRJ—FS/350—12/2000—50,000.